

**BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS,  
SURVEYORS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**  
Professional and Vocational Licensing Division  
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs  
State of Hawaii

MINUTES OF MEETING

The agenda for this meeting was posted to the State electronic calendar as required by Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") section 92-7(b).

Date: Thursday, June 22, 2023

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Place: King Kalakaua Conference Room, First Floor  
HRH King Kalakaua Bldg.  
335 Merchant Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Present: Clayton Pang, Electrical Engineer, Chair  
Joel Kurokawa, Landscape Architect Member, Vice-Chair  
Brian Fujiwara, Architect Member  
Dan Hirota, Land Surveyor Member  
Alan Inaba, Land Surveyor Member  
Jay Ishibashi, Public Member  
Tony Lau, Civil Engineer  
Benedict Lee, Landscape Architect Member  
Ken Ota, Public Member  
Janet Primiano, Public Member  
Marc Ventura, Architect Member  
Roberto Yumol, Architect Member

Members Excused: Kevin Katayama, Mechanical Engineer

Staff: Sheena Choy, Executive Officer ("EO Choy")  
Chelsea Fukunaga, Executive Officer ("EO Fukunaga")  
Lee Ann Teshima, Executive Officer ("EO Teshima")  
Christopher Leong, Deputy Attorney General ("DAG")  
Kawehi Mau, Secretary  
Lorna Eugenio, Secretary

Guests: None

Call to Order: The Chair took roll call of the Board members and excused Mr. Katayama.

There being a quorum, the Chair called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m.

Chair's Report: **Announcements**

None.

**Approval of the Minutes of the April 24, 2023 meeting and April 24, 2023 public hearing.**

The Chair asked if there were any corrections to or discussion of the minutes for the April 24, 2023 meeting or the minutes for the April 24, 2023 public hearing.

There being none, upon a motion by Mr. Inaba, seconded by Mr. Ota, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the minutes of the April 24, 2023 meeting and April 24, 2023 public hearing.

At 10:05 a.m., Mr. Ishibashi arrived.

### **Recognition of Outgoing Board Member**

The Chair announced that this is the last meeting for land surveyor Board member, Alan Inaba, as he is terming out on June 30, 2023. Mr. Inaba has been serving on the Board since 2015.

The Chair expressed appreciation on behalf of the Board for his service.

Mr. Inaba expressed his appreciation to the Board for allowing him to serve and expressed his willingness to serve again in the future.

The Chair presented Mr. Inaba with a "Certificate of Appreciation."

The Chair announced the next agenda item as new business.

### **New Business: NCEES Combined Zone Interim Meeting Recap Report**

EO Choy stated that Mr. Katayama, Mr. Hirota, and Mr. Lau represented the Board at the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES) Combined Zone Interim Meeting on April 27 to April 29, 2023.

She reported that although Mr. Katayama was unable to attend today's meeting, he did provide a written report that included the following:

Mr. Katayama reported he believes this was the first time that there was a combined zone meeting whereby all four zones gathered together to meet at one location. Usually, each zone has its own separate meeting in their jurisdiction to discuss the upcoming annual meeting issues. This saved NCEES in travel costs as the NCEES leadership didn't have to travel to four different sites to conduct the separate meetings. He felt that it was more like a "mini" annual meeting. The NCEES board members gave their reports to all members. Mr. Katayama had been hearing from other delegates that when there were separate zone meetings, some of the information that was passed to the members differed at the separate zone meetings.

At 10:09, Mr. Lee arrived.

Mr. Hirota reported that the joint zone meeting was well received. He had previously attended joint two-zone meetings before but agreed with Mr. Katayama this felt like a mini annual meeting. Issues facing future large joint meetings would be finding a suitably sized city and coordinating well in advance. As someone who mostly attends zone meetings, he appreciated seeing and talking to other non-western zone members and was open to future joint meetings.

Mr. Hirota also shared updates on the professional surveyors (PS) module exam. NCEES is breaking up the PS exam to 5 modules with individual states selecting what applies to them. The 5 modules are: Core, Boundary, Mapping, PLSS, and Incidental Drainage. This was a hot topic as it was previously voted on at an annual meeting to proceed with the development of the modules. There was discussion at the joint zone meeting that NCEES may not proceed with the changes and that they need to be re-evaluated based on the results of the psychometric testing that was

done. It was a very divided room as many of the backers of the changes were upset with the potential reversal of the decision and the impact it has on trying to get GIS/Mapping individuals licensed. Others were okay with any reversal and felt that it would complicate the mobility of licensing and increase exam fees/time for individuals.

Mr. Hirota reported that the last written structural exam(s) will be in October of this year. After that, in 2024 it will be converted from a two-day, two-part written exam to a four-day, four-part computer-based exam offered all year.

Mr. Lau reported that on Day 2, there were breakout sessions for Engineering, Surveying, Member Board Administrators (MBA) and Law Enforcement. He attended the MBA breakout and some items that affect or involve Hawaii are:

- a. New Jersey is requesting feedback from other State boards about how long it takes to process applications for licensure. They are trying to address proposed legislation to set goals of processing their applications or force a 3<sup>rd</sup> party review. He stated that they seem to handle their reviews with staff versus volunteers.
- b. NCEES is striving to eliminate paper forms for verification of experience. They noted they are working with Hawaii to switch to an electronic format.

Mr. Lau reported that David Peden from Washington was elected as the NCEES Western Zone Secretary/Treasurer.

The Chair announced the next report is from the NCARB Annual Business Meeting.

### **NCARB Annual Business Meeting Recap Report**

EO Choy stated that Mr. Fujiwara and Mr. Ventura represented the Board at the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) Annual Business Meeting on June 15 to June 17, 2023.

Mr. Fujiwara reported that they elected new NCARB board members at this meeting, including the election of Sylvia Kwan as secretary. NCARB presented their financial report, which showed the organization is financially stable. He reported updates that NCARB is now providing free practice exams to candidates and that NCARB has retired its "rolling clock" policy. NCARB is finding that, as a result, more people are taking and passing the exams. NCARB also announced a reciprocity agreement with the UK and is exploring similar agreements with Taiwan, South Africa, and Costa Rica. The next regional meeting will be in Savannah, Georgia in March 2024, and the next annual meeting will be in Chicago in June 2024.

Mr. Ventura reported the new NCARB president, Mr. Baker, is from the same region as the Hawaii EASLA Board. There were five main resolutions proposed at the meeting; four were general housekeeping resolutions, but the fifth was regarding NCARB governance re-structuring. This fifth resolution was a hot topic of debate at the conference. It was primarily diversity, equity, and inclusion-related, and ultimately passed 52-2 in favor of the new governance structure.

The Chair announced the next agenda item as an inquiry regarding lawful experience from Scott Jennings.

Mr. Lau recused himself and left the room.

#### **Written testimony via email from Scott Jennings regarding the "lawful experience" requirement for individuals seeking to sit for the NCEES PE-Structural exam ("SE Exam").**

The Chair read Mr. Jennings' letter dated June 12, 2023:

"I am writing to request the Board of Professional Engineers, Architects, Surveyors & Landscape Architects include an agenda item for its next board meeting, to allow testimony and discussion of the way the Board has been interpreting and applying the "lawful experience" requirement for individuals seeking to sit for the structural engineering examination.

Specifically, the Board appears to be interpreting the "lawful experience" requirement to mean applicants must work under the supervision of a Hawaii licensed Structural Engineer before being allowed to sit for the SE examination. Hawaii Administrative Rule 16-115-39, however, specifically defines "lawful experience" to include work under a licensed professional civil engineer from a jurisdiction where structural engineering is considered part of the civil engineering license.

I would like the opportunity to present testimony to the Board regarding this issue, and answer any questions that may arise from Board members, in hopes of avoiding unnecessary denials (and subsequent appeals) of applications to sit for the SE exam in the future."

EO Choy stated that although it appears that Mr. Jennings requested that the Board discuss this matter and offered to be present when the Board discussed the matter.

EO Choy reported that the Board's staff attempted to contact Mr. Jennings via phone and email but did not receive a response and were therefore unable to confirm his attendance.

EO Teshima asked whether the Board understands HAR §16-115-39 Lawful experience and take it into account when reviewing applications.

The Board confirmed they understood HAR §16-115-39 Lawful experience.

HAR §16-115-39 Lawful experience. (a) The following may be acceptable lawful experience subject to the evaluation and approval of the board:

- (2) For structural engineering applicants from a jurisdiction in which structural engineering is considered a part of civil engineering, field and office training in structural engineering under the supervision of a licensed professional civil engineer in that jurisdiction

EO Choy stated that Hawaii is a discipline-specific jurisdiction. Therefore, the exception in 16-115-39(a)(2) does not apply to applicants submitting Hawaii structural work experience to meet the experience qualifications for licensure. Hawaii structural engineering experience must be verified by licensed structural engineers.

After further discussion, it was the consensus of the Board that based on HAR §16-115-39, applicants for structural engineering who obtained their experience in this State are required to "work under the supervision of a Hawaii licensed Structural Engineer before being allowed to sit for the structural engineering ("SE") examination," and that for structural engineering applicants from a jurisdiction in which structural engineering is considered a part of civil engineering, the applicant may submit verifications completed by licensed professional civil engineers in that jurisdiction.

Hawaii is a discipline-specific jurisdiction; civil and structural engineering are recognized and licensed as separate and distinct disciplines. Therefore, applicants who submit work experience in Hawaii for consideration for licensure must have such work verified by a licensed structural engineer for the experience to qualify.

Pursuant to §16-201-90, this is an informal interpretation for informational and explanatory purposes only and is not an official opinion or decision and therefore is not to be viewed as binding on the board or department.

Mr. Lau returned to the room after the discussion of this agenda item was concluded.

The Chair announced the next agenda item from Mr. McCluskey and asked for a motion to amend the agenda from "Email from George McCluskey regarding scope of practice for 3 scenarios on civil vs. structural work when conducting investigations for property claims" to "Email from George McCluskey regarding scope of practice for 3 scenarios on ~~civil vs. structural~~ work when conducting investigations for property claims".

Mr. Ventura motioned to amend the agenda item for clarification, it was seconded by Mr. Lau, voted on, and unanimously carried to amend the agenda item.

Scope of Practice:

**Email from George McCluskey regarding scope of practice for 3 scenarios on work when conducting investigations for property claims.**

The Chair read the inquiry from Mr. McCluskey:

"I would like for someone to clarify how Hawaii treats the PE and SE licenses. I am currently licensed in HI as a structural engineer and work for a forensic engineering firm. We typically conduct investigations whenever there are property claims for insurance companies, attorneys, or owners. We sometimes also produced repair drawings. Although I am a structural engineer, our work is not strictly structurally related. Sometimes we deal with building envelope issues, wind damage to roofing, cladding, etc.

I have a couple scenarios I would like to run by someone from the board to get clarification on what we may or may not be able to do:

- 1) In the event I get a large project, say for example at one of the large resorts, where the job could not be done by one person, am I allowed to have a group of engineers work under my supervision that are not licensed in Hawaii to help me tabulate and document damage throughout the building? They would just help with data collection, and I would be the one writing, signing, and sealing the report.
- 2) Would any of those engineers, that are not licensed in HI, be allowed to inspect say roofing or cladding damage (something that is not necessarily structural in nature), write a report and have me stamp and seal it? Or if that is not allowed, what if I write the report based on their observations from their inspection?
- 3) Lastly, in the event that a large event occurs in the islands, such as a large earthquake or hurricane, does the state have a plan in place that would allow mainland engineers not licensed in HI, come and temporarily be able to perform engineering work under the direct supervision of an engineer licensed in the state?

We are helping various insurance companies with a lot of work out in Florida looking at various types of residential, commercial, and industrial properties. Similarly, Hawaii has a large amount of large condominium towers and hotel resorts that would require a lot of manpower to inspect for damage. Since a large hurricane/earthquake could occur on the islands, I would like to have this information clarified, if possible, so that we can position our company accordingly and be able to help there if needed."

Mr. Lau stated he does not see an issue with Mr. McCluskey signing off on large projects where many engineers worked under him. Ultimately, Mr. McCluskey would be taking responsibility for all work he has signed off on.

Mr. Lau stated engineers should not be signing off on work that they are not qualified to do, for example civil engineers should not be signing off on structural work.

Mr. Yumol asked if there is a liability issue if a licensee signs off on work not under their scope of practice.

The Board agreed that it would be a liability issue.

The Board agreed that those who sign off on work assume responsibility for the work, and the work signed off on must fall within the licensee's scope of practice.

Mr. Lau stated that the inspection and report should be done by a licensed

individual. If the licensee was just writing a report but not involved in inspecting this could create conflict.

Mr. Lau stated the third inquiry regarding natural disasters would need to be further discussed to see if the Board has a contingency plan.

It was agreed upon that the Board would not comment on the third inquiry as the Board would not be the party making the decisions regarding such matters.

The Board came to a consensus on responding to Mr. McCluskey's inquiries and directed EO Choy to respond to the inquiry citing the laws and statutes below, and if he has further questions to consult an attorney.

**§464-12 Corporations and partnerships.** A corporation or copartnership may engage in the practice of professional engineering, architecture, surveying, or landscape architecture in the State if the person or persons connected with the corporation or copartnership directly in charge of the professional work is duly licensed

**§16-115-4 Business entities.** Pursuant to chapters 428 and 464, HRS, a corporation, partnership, or limited liability company may engage in the practice of professional engineering, architecture, land surveying, or landscape architecture in the State provided the person or persons connected with the business entity directly in charge of the professional work is or are duly licensed under chapter 464, HRS. The person or persons in direct charge shall be full-time employee(s), principal(s), officer(s), partner(s), member(s), or manager(s) of the business entity, and shall have been delegated the legal authority to bind the business entity in all matters relating to the professional work.

**§16-115-9 Authentication: preparation or supervision of design and observation of construction.** (a) All plans, specifications, maps, reports, surveys, descriptions, and every sheet in a set of design drawings prepared by or under the supervision of a licensed professional engineer, architect, land surveyor, or landscape architect shall be stamped with the authorized seal or stamp when filed with public officials. Below the seal or stamp, the authentication shall state "This work was prepared by me or under my supervision", be signed by the licensee, and state the expiration date of the license, as follows:

Pursuant to §16-201-90, this is an informal interpretation for informational and explanatory purposes only and is not an official opinion or decision and therefore is not to be viewed as binding on the board or department.

The Chair announced the next agenda item as the Executive Officer's Report.

Executive Officer's  
Report:

**Update on the 2023 Legislative Session**

EO Choy stated that the 2023 legislative session ended on May 4, 2023. The EASLA-related bills that were sent to the Governor's Office for signature were:

- 1) SB1468, SD2, HD2, CD1 – Relating to Right of Entry for Professional Surveyors  
Authorizes professional land surveyors, and any assistant under the direct supervision of the professional land surveyor, to enter any private property to perform land surveying, subject to certain provisions regarding notice, objections, and identification. Shields professional land surveyors and their

assistants from prosecution under criminal trespass laws when performing their duties. (CD1)

- 2) HB217, HD1, SD2, CD1 – Relating to Home Renovations  
Updates the cost valuations of work on certain residences for the work to qualify for an exemption from the requirement that plans and specifications for construction projects be prepared by a licensed engineer or architect. Clarifies work that is not exempt from these requirements. (CD1)

She reported that the legislation was presented to the Governor within the last 10 days of the session. Unless the Governor vetoes the bills within a certain timeframe, they will become law with or without signature.

Board staff will post a web notice on the Board's web page to inform licensees and the public of these new laws.

### **Status of Proposed Amendments to HAR Title 16 Chapter 115**

EO Teshima stated that she, EO Fukunaga, and EO Choy attended the Small Business Regulatory Review Board's (SBRRB) June 15, 2023 meeting to provide a post-public hearing report. The EOs summarized the April 24, 2023 public hearing to the SBRRB and reported that the Board did not receive any public written or oral testimony on the proposed rules. The SBRRB board members did not have any questions for the EOs and voted to approve the proposed rules after public hearing.

EO Teshima reported that the revised rules have been transmitted to the Governor's Office for approval and are currently being reviewed. After the Governor signs the final rules, it will be filed with the Lieutenant Governor's Office and will take effect 10 days after filing with the Lieutenant Governor's Office.

### **NCEES Nuclear Exam**

EO Choy reported that the Board staff received a letter from the president of the American Nuclear Society (ANS). This letter was apparently also sent to many other state Boards regarding the NCEES PE-Nuclear exam ("Nuclear exam").

EO Choy stated that ANS was expressing concern inferring that NCEES will stop offering the Nuclear exam. However, EO Choy stated that the NCEES CEO, David Cox, clarified to all the NCEES EOs that there is no intent to get rid of the exam. Rather, the Nuclear exam:

"...has been placed on probation for two exam administrations, 2023 and 2024. It was done per the Board of Directors at the recommendation of the Committee on Exam Policy and Procedure (EPP). The decision to do this was not due to the cost of the development of the exam versus the revenue it generates, but rather due to its candidate volume.

The PE Nuclear engineering exam is offered once a year, and it has failed to meet the minimum number of required first time takers "to provide an accurate psychometric analysis" for three consecutive administrations, 2020, 2021, and 2022. Per NCEES Policy (EDP 7.B), *if the population of first-time examinees from NCEES jurisdictions for any NCEES CBT examination or module is not adequate to provide for accurate psychometric analysis*, one of the actions that can be taken is for that exam to be placed on probation for an allotted period of time and a specific remedial action plan be put in place to increase the number of first-time takers to a



level that meets or exceeds the minimum candidate requirements. An “accurate psychometric analysis” means, enough first-time takers are exposed to the exam items so that statistics that are tracked are not volatile or unstable when used to record various metrics.

During the period of probation, the PE Nuclear engineering exam development committee, in conjunction with its supporting technical society, will follow the actions in the remedial action plan in an attempt to increase the volume of takers...”

EO Choy stated that NCEES informed state boards that another issue involving the low volume of PE Nuclear exam candidates is that many of the nuclear engineering degree holders take the PE Mechanical exam in lieu of the PE Nuclear exam. Those candidates would provide a boost to the PE Nuclear exam candidate volume, if they took that exam.

### **Landscape Architect’s Hawaii Plant Materials Exam (“State Exam”) live on PSI**

EO Choy stated that effective June 16, 2023, the landscape architecture “Hawaii Plant Materials Exam” (“State Exam”) exam proctoring services have been transferred to PSI Services, LLC. Responsibility for exam proctoring is not in the Board’s laws/rules, and therefore it is an administrative decision to transition the exam proctoring. Several other PVL boards have also made this transition.

A notice will be posted on the Board’s website with more information. The PVL Exam Branch has also notified current candidates for the State Exam of the change and provided more information for the transition. Board staff is also working on updating the landscape architect application and instructions to reflect the necessary changes.

The surveyor Hawaii Description Writing state exam will also be transitioning to PSI by the end of 2023. More information will be forthcoming as available.

### **Discussion of Establishing a Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) for evaluating the state exam for landscape architects**

EO Choy stated that the Board-produced State Exam (Hawaii Plant Materials) for landscape architect applicants is due for an update. EO Choy suggested that the Board consider forming a PIG to write new questions and update the reference materials.

The purpose(s) of a establishing a PIG are to:

- 1) Evaluate and update the existing State Exam questions;
- 2) Write new questions;
- 3) Update the exam reference materials; and
- 4) Provide recommendations for rule revisions regarding the examination requirements for the State Exam.

EO Choy recommended that both landscape architect members, Vice Chair Kurokawa and Mr. Lee, be on the PIG to determine which other subject matter experts and stakeholders should be consulted by the PIG.

The meetings may be held in-person or virtually.

EO Choy explained that the PIG will present their recommendations at a future, duly

notice Board meeting. A separate meeting will be held for public comment and Board deliberation.

Having established the scope of the PIG, the Board appointed the following:

- 1) Joel Kurokawa
- 2) Benedict Lee

Upon a motion by Mr. Inaba, seconded by Mr. Fujiwara, it was voted on and unanimously carried to establish the proposed PIG.

**Discussion of Establishing a Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) for evaluating the state exam for land surveyors**

EO Choy stated that the Board-produced State Exam (Hawaii Description Writing) for land surveyor applicants is also due for an update. EO Choy suggested that the Board consider forming a PIG to write new questions and update the reference materials.

The purpose(s) of a establishing a PIG are to:

- 1) Evaluate and update the existing State Exam questions;
- 2) Write new questions;
- 3) Update the exam reference materials; and
- 4) Provide recommendations for rule revisions regarding the examination requirements for the State Exam.

EO Choy recommended that at least Mr. Hirota be on the PIG, to determine which other subject matter experts and stakeholders should be consulted by the PIG.

The meetings may be held in-person or virtually.

EO Choy explained that the PIG will present their recommendations at a future, duly notice Board meeting. A separate meeting will be held for public comment and Board deliberation.

Having established the scope of the PIG, the Board appointed the following:

- 1) Dan Hirota

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Ota, it was voted on and unanimously carried to establish the proposed PIG.

**Discussion of Establishing a Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) for evaluating the experience qualification requirements for engineering applications**

EO Choy reminded the Board that at their February 28, 2023 meeting, they voted to form a PIG to address some of the 2023 legislative bills. EO Choy stated that she suggests the Board form a new PIG specifically to evaluate the experience qualification requirements for engineering applicants, and to bring stakeholders and other interested parties together to discuss.

The purpose(s) of establishing a PIG are to:

- 1) Research other state regulations to verify whether they recognize only one type of experience to qualify for PE licensure (e.g. the experience of government “reviewers” etc.;
- 2) Research other state regulations to verify whether they issue a conditional license for government employees;
- 3) Have further discussion with the City and County of Honolulu, other counties, and other applicable Hawaii government departments regarding their qualifications for “reviewers;”
- 4) Provide recommendations to the Board and other stakeholders regarding the experience requirements for licensure and applicants submitting only government experience or one type of experience.

EO Choy recommended the Board at least have one civil engineer, one structural engineer, one mechanical engineer, and one electrical engineer.

The meetings may be held in-person or virtually.

EO Choy explained that the PIG will present their recommendations at a future, duly noticed Board meeting. A separate meeting will be held for public comment and Board deliberation.

Having established the scope of the PIG, the Board appointed the following:

- 1) Clayton Pang
- 2) Dan Hirota
- 3) Kevin Katayama
- 4) Tony Lau

Upon a motion by the Vice Chair, seconded by Ms. Primiano, it was voted on and unanimously carried to establish the proposed PIG.

The Chair announced the next agenda item and asked for a correction for clarification to amend “**Ratification Lists** – Recommendations from the following Application Review Committees:

Professional Engineer Committee  
Professional Architect Committee  
Professional Surveyors Committee  
Professional Landscape Architects Committee”

to “**Ratification Lists**” —~~Recommendations from the following Application Review Committees:~~

~~Professional Engineer Committee~~  
~~Professional Architect Committee~~  
~~Professional Surveyors Committee~~  
~~Professional Landscape Architects Committee~~

#### Applications:

#### **Ratification Lists**

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by the Vice Chair, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the attached ratification list.

The Chair asked for a motion to enter into executive session in accordance with HRS §92-4 and §92-5(a)(1) and (4) “To consider and evaluate personal information relating to individuals applying for licensure;” and “To consult with the Board’s attorney on questions and issues pertaining to the Board’s powers, duties,

privileges, immunities, and liabilities;”.

Executive Session: At 11:16 a.m., upon a motion by Mr. Ota, seconded by Mr. Lau, it was voted on and unanimously carried to enter into executive session.

At 11:55 a.m., upon a motion by Mr. Yumol, seconded by Mr. Hirota, it was voted on and unanimously carried to move out of executive session.

### **Engineer Applications**

#### Russell Carlson

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Fujiwara, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve Mr. Carlson's application.

#### Gustavo Guerrero

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Inaba, it was voted on and unanimously carried to defer the application for Mr. Guerrero's application for more information.

#### Angelito Macasero

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Ishibashi, it was voted on and unanimously carried to defer the application for Mr. Macasero for more information.

#### Patrick Noll

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Inaba, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the engineering application for Mr. Noll.

#### Shawn Sakoda

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Ventura, it was voted on and unanimously carried to defer Mr. Sakoda's application for more information.

#### Corey Wageman

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Ms. Primiano, it was voted on and unanimously carried to defer the application for more information.

#### Allen Ziesman

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Lee, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the engineer application for Mr. Ziesman.

### **Architect Applications**

#### Guillaume Belgique

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Yumol, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the architect application for Mr. Belgique.

#### Kyle Callahan

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Yumol it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the application for architect for Mr. Callahan.

#### John Rademacher

Upon a motion by the Vice Chair, seconded by Mr. Inaba, it was voted on and unanimously carried to clarify that Mr. Rademacher is applying for architect license and not professional engineer.

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Yumol, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve the application for architect for Mr. Rademacher.

Chapter 91,  
Adjudicatory  
Matters:

In the Matter of the Architect License of **Matthew R. Kilgore ENG 2018-4-L**;  
Settlement Agreement Prior to Filing of Petition for Disciplinary Action and  
Board's Final Order; Exhibit "1"

Upon a motion by Mr. Lau, seconded by Mr. Yumol, it was voted on and unanimously  
carried to accept the Board's Final Order for Mr. Kilgore.

Next Meeting:

Date: **Thursday, August 10, 2023**  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Location: King Kalakaua Conference Room  
King Kalakaua Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
335 Merchant Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Adjournment:

There being no further business, the Chair adjourned the meeting at 12:04 p.m.

Taken and Reviewed by:

/s/ Sheena Choy

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sheena Choy  
Executive Officer

8/10/2023

[ X ] Minutes approved as is.

[ ] Minutes approved with changes; see minutes \_\_\_\_\_.