

BOARD OF DENTISTRY
Professional & Vocational Licensing Division
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
State of Hawaii

MINUTES OF MEETING¹

Date: February 7, 2022

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Place: Virtual Videoconference Meeting – Zoom Webinar

Present: Earl Hasegawa, D.D.S., Chair, Dental Member
Paul Guevara, D.M.D, M.D.S., Vice-Chair, Dental Member
Wallace Chong, III, D.D.S., Dental Member
Wesley Choy, D.D.S., Dental Member
Sharon Tanaka, Public Member
Joyce Yamada, Ed.D., R.D.H., Dental Hygiene Member
Katherine Fukushima, R.D.H., Dental Hygiene Member
Joseph Chu, D.D.S., Dental Member
Bryan Yee, Esq., Deputy Attorney General (“DAG”)
Sandra Matsushima, Executive Officer (“EO”)
Wilma Balon, Secretary
Christopher Fernandez, Tech Support

Excused: George Wessberg, D.D.S., Dental Member
Andrew Tseu, D.D.S., Dental Member

Zoom Webinar
Guests: Lei Fukumura, Special Deputy Attorney General (“SDAG”)
Danny Cup Choy, Hawaii Dental Association
Patrick Donnelly, HOHC
Ellie Kelley-Miyashiro
Sheila Kitamura
Gerraine Hignite

Agenda: The agenda for this meeting was filed with the Office of the Lieutenant Governor, as required by §92-7(b), Hawaii Revised Statutes (“HRS”).

A short video was played to explain the meeting procedure and how members of the public could participate in the virtual meeting.

¹ Comments from the public were solicited on each agenda item. If no public comments were given, the solicitation for and lack of public comment are not explicitly stated in these minutes.

1. Call to Order: There being a quorum present, Chair Hasegawa called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m.

2. Roll Call: Chair Hasegawa welcomed everyone to the meeting and proceeded with a roll call of the Board members. All Board members confirmed that they were present, with the exception of Dr. Wessberg who was excused from the meeting and Dr. Tseu who experienced technical difficulties.

Pursuant to the New Act 220, Chair Hasegawa called for a recess from the Board's meeting at 10:15 a.m., due to Dr. Tseu experiencing technical difficulties. While he was able to listen, he was unable to provide comment or vote.

At 10:19 a.m., Chair Hasegawa announced that the Board reconvene to its regular Chapter 92, HRS, meeting.

Chair Hasegawa apologized for the delay and announced that Dr. Tseu had excused himself from the meeting due to technical difficulties. There being a quorum present, the meeting proceeded.

3. Approval of Board Meeting Minutes and Executive Session Chair Hasegawa asked the Board members if there were any comments or concerns regarding the open session minutes or executive session minutes of November 15, 2021. There were none.

Minutes of November 15, 2021: Upon a motion by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Dr. Chu, it was voted and unanimously carried to approve the open session minutes and executive session minutes of November 15, 2021.

Chair Hasegawa Stated the following:

"Because we are conducting this meeting virtually, public testimony is being held a little differently. After each agenda item is presented, I will call for public comment. Testimony will be taken before each agenda item. If you wish to testify, you can then left-click the raised hand icon on your computer or phone found on your Zoom screen. You can find it on most computers at the bottom center of the screen. We will then know you want to provide public testimony."

"We will identify who wants to testify based on who has raised their hand. You will be called in order by the user name you selected, enabled to unmute, and asked to identify yourself. You will then need to unmute your microphone before speaking and mute your

microphone after you finish speaking in order to prevent audio feedback. When testifying, you will be asked to identify yourself and the organization, if any, that you represent, then provide your public testimony. Please note that you will NOT be automatically unmuted. You will need to unmute yourself. You will have a maximum of five minutes for your testimony. At the end of five minutes, you will be asked to summarize and conclude. If you have submitted written testimony, we kindly ask that you summarize your points.”

“PHONE ACCESS:”

“When the Chairperson asks for public testimony, you may indicate you want to testify by entering “*” and then “9” on your phone’s keypad. After entering “*” and then “9”, a voice prompt will let you know that the host of the meeting has been notified. When recognized by the Chairperson, you may unmute yourself by pressing “*” and then “6” on your phone. A voice prompt will let you know that you are unmuted. Once you are finished speaking, please enter “*” and then “6” again to mute yourself”

“The public will be able to make comments and give testimony. After that, the Board will be given the opportunity to ask questions, make comments and do decision making if necessary”

4. New Business: a. Program Sponsor Agreement for Continuing Education (“CE”)

- i) Sponsor: June Wolken-Vierra
Dental Educational Solutions & Training / HIOSH BBP
4 CE hours

Chair Hasegawa asked if there are any public comments regarding the application.

At 10:23 a.m., Chair Hasegawa recognized the raised hand by a public attendee Gerraine Hignite.

The following were discussed out of order.

Ms. Hignite greeted the Board and indicated that she would like to make a comment regarding the minutes of November 15, 2021.

On page 15, the second paragraph, third sentence
“This waiver is for the biennial renewal period of

January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2023”

Ms. Hignite noted a possible typo of the year which should read “This waiver is for the biennial renewal period of January 1, **2020** to December 31, **2021**”

EO Matsushima explained that the waiver for the biennial renewal period of January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2023 is correct.

EO Matsushima further explained the CE hours that were waived from the maximum of 8 hours online is for the previous biennial which is from January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021.

EO Matsushima indicated that current biennial renewal period is the renewal period of January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2023.

EO Matsushima explained that when renewing a license during the current biennial period, one must provide CE’s from the previous biennial period.

There being no other comments or concerns, Ms. Hignite thanked the Board for the clarification.

Ms. Hignite was change from panelist to attendee.

The Board resumed the order of the agenda.

4. New Business: a. Program Sponsor Agreement for Continuing Education (“CE”)

- i) Sponsor: June Wolken-Vierra
Dental Educational Solutions & Training / HIOSH BBP
4 CE hours

Chair Hasegawa asked if there are any public comments regarding the application.

There being none, it was moved by Ms. Yamada, seconded by Vice-Chair Guevara, and unanimously carried to approve the Application for Program Sponsor Agreement for CE from June Wolken-Vierra.

ii) Sponsor: University of Kentucky College of Dentistry
Local Anesthesia and Nitrous Oxide Analgesia for the
Dental Hygienist
46 CE hours

Chair Hasegawa asked if there are any public comments regarding the application. There were none.

Chair Hasegawa asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board.

Ms. Tanaka questioned whether Hawaii Dental Hygienists are allowed to administer Nitrous Oxide.

Chair Hasegawa explained that only Hawaii Dentists are allowed to administer Nitrous Oxide but not Hawaii Dental Hygienists.

Ms. Tanaka indicated that the application is for Dental Hygienist.

Chair Hasegawa further explained that Dental Hygienists can have the education but not necessarily licensed to do it.

After discussion, Chair Hasegawa suggested for approval based on the course content.

There being no other comments from the Board, it was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Ms. Yamada, and unanimously carried to approve the Application for Program Sponsor Agreement for CE from University of Kentucky College of Dentistry.

iii) Sponsor: Lloyd T. Narimatsu dba Safety Training
BLS / AED Certifications
4 CE hours

Chair Hasegawa asked if there are any public comments regarding the application.

There being none, it was moved by Ms. Yamada, seconded by Vice-Chair Guevara, and unanimously carried to approve the Application for Program

Sponsor Agreement for CE from Lloyd T. Narimatsu.

Chair Hasegawa asked if there were any members from the public, or Dr. Geyer, wishing to provide testimony on the next agenda item Chapter 91, Adjudicatory Matters.

Lilly L. Geyer, D.D.S., formerly known as Lilly L. Tsou, D.D.S.,
Settlement Agreement After Filing of Petition for Disciplinary Action
and Board's Final Order;

Chair Hasegawa recognized the raised hand from Gerraine Hignite.

The following were discussed out of content.

Ms. Hignite indicated that she did not received in the mail the Agenda for this meeting.

EO Matsushima asked Ms. Hignite if she was included on the agenda distribution list.

Ms. Hignite answered in affirmative.

EO Matsushima informed Ms. Hignite that the public can access the Board meeting agendas on the DCCA calendar website which are posted 6 days prior to the scheduled meeting date.

Ms. Hignite requested that she prefer if one is sent to her.

There being no further concerns, Ms. Hignite was excused as a panelist.

The Board resumed the order of the agenda.

Chair Hasegawa again asked if there were any members from the public, or Dr. Geyer, wishing to provide testimony on agenda item 5. Chapter 91, Adjudicatory Matters. There were none.

5. Chapter 91, Adjudicatory Matters:

Chair Hasegawa called for a recess from the Board's meeting at 10:35 a.m., to discuss and deliberate on the following adjudicatory matters, pursuant to Chapter 91, HRS (note: Board members and staff entered into Microsoft Teams):

- a. Lilly L. Geyer, D.D.S., formerly known as Lilly L. Tsou, D.D.S., Settlement Agreement After Filing of Petition for Disciplinary Action and Board's Final Order;

SDAG Fukumura briefed the Board on this matter.

After discussion, it was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Dr. Chu and unanimously carried to accept the Settlement Agreement After Filing of Petition for Disciplinary Action and Board's Final Order.

Following the Board's review, deliberation, and decision on this matter pursuant to Chapter 91, HRS, Chair Hasegawa announced that the Board reconvene to its regular Chapter 92, HRS, meeting at 10:46 a.m. Board members and staff returned to the Zoom meeting.

6. Legislative Matters:

a. H.B. 1498 Relating to Occupational Licensing

The purpose of the bill would require the board of dentistry to issue a license by credentials to a practicing dentist who is licensed in another state and meets other specific professional qualification requirements. Expands the required qualifications for dental licensure to include passage of any other nationally recognized clinical dental examination recommended by the American Dental Association and dental licensure in another state; provided certain requirements are met.

Chair Hasegawa asked if there were any members from the public wishing to provide testimony on this matter.

Chair Hasegawa recognized Danny Cup Choy.

Mr. Choy greeted the Board and explained that he is present on behalf of Hawaii Dental Association "HDA".

Mr. Choy indicated that HDA would like to go on record with their current position on Agenda item 6. Legislative Matters and stated the following:

1. H.B. 1498 Relating to Occupational Licensing, HDA opposed the measure and support the current licensing requirements.
2. H.B. 1977 and S.B. 2280 Relating to Dental Assistants. HDA support the measure. It is HDA's understanding that this bill is consistent with the current law, which allows dental assistants to perform limited duties under general supervision of a dentist in public health settings.

3. H.B. 2057 and S.B. 2073 Relating to Telehealth, which allows for standard telephone contacts for telehealth purposes. HDA is monitoring at this time and take no position.

Mr. Choy concluded his testimony and thanked the Board for the opportunity. Mr. Choy informed the Board that he would be happy to take back to HDA any questions the Board may have.

Chair Hasegawa asked if any Board members had questions or comments for Mr. Choy. There being no questions, Chair Hasegawa thanked Mr. Choy for his testimony.

Chair Hasegawa asked EO Matsushima to share what the Board had testified in the past when it came to the topic of licensure by credentials.

EO Matsushima advised that in the past, the Board expressed concerns specifically on the issue of licensure by credentials which will allow for any dentist who is licensed under another state's criteria to practice here. She stated that this will include dentists who did not graduate from an American Dental Association ("ADA") CODA accredited U.S. dental school, or graduates from a school of dentistry in another country.

EO Matsushima reiterated that this Board is in opposition to this measure because should this measure pass as proposed, there will be many loopholes that could be used in the future to justify licensure for dentists.

EO Matsushima explained that the Board is concerned that passage of this bill would deplete or eliminate the Community Service Dentist, which offers licensure without examination to practice dentistry in the "supervised" employment of the DOH, federally qualified health centers, native Hawaiian Health systems, or accredited post secondary dental auxiliary training programs.

EO Matsushima noted that passage from another nationally recognized substantially equivalent examination recommended by the ADA is very broad criteria. She stated that, although the Board uses the ADA for guidance, it is not obligated to follow its policies. The ADA is a professional organization that is membership driven and although it champions many quality initiatives, the ADA has broadened its criteria for licensure and has acknowledged that it is

up to the individual state boards to determine competency for licensure.

EO Matsushima further noted that the ADA has no recommendation for the definition of active practice and has not made active practice a recommendation for licensure. She stated that the language in the bill also does not specify the number of hours per year of active practice needed for licensure. She further stated that active practice could mean volunteering no specific time of the week, month, or year. Most states require full time active practice in the years preceding the granting of a license.

EO Matsushima noted that the Board is concerned that this bill, as proposed, is tailored to allow for a carve out for dentists who do not want to expend the time and effort to take a manikin-based exam demonstrating competence and required hand skills. She stated that its narrow focus does not address the unforeseen loopholes of licensure by credentials and will bind Hawaii to another state that has the most lenient standards for dental licensure.

EO Matsushima further noted that this measure does not support the Boards fiduciary duty in protecting the health, safety, and welfare of the public. She stated that passage of this measure would promote dental professional tourism which could possibly result in a lower standard of care to the residents of Hawaii.

Chair Hasegawa asked if any Board members had questions or comments.

Vice-Chair Guevara advised that he is in the process of getting a license in another state. He explained that the licensing credentials are far more stringent than what it is on this bill. He stated that this bill is broad to allow across the board to get licensure by credential.

Vice-Chair Guevara reiterated that it does not require checking in to professional background, such as requesting your diplomas, what residency did you attend, what other licenses do you hold, or basically everything about you as a dentist.

Vice-Chair Guevara stated that he understands that people are aiming for affordability for their license but this is sort of brought in with the loop holes that could give some problems in the future. He noted that he is not for or against this bill and stated that the Board need to look at the bigger picture.

Chair Hasegawa asked if there are any other Board comments. There being none, he advised that if the board is inclined, the Board can support, oppose, or not take a position on the bill.

Vice-Chair Guevara advised that he has been on the Board long enough to see these bills pop up. He explained that this is one bill that the Board does not need to take a position. He informed members that this is a legislative action to where the state legislature will make the decision to move forward or not. He further explained that the Board will only need to refine the language if needed. He went on to say that historically, the Board had taken no position.

EO Matsushima advised that in the past, the Board has somewhat opposed.

EO Matsushima indicated that if this Board feels that they have serious concerns and is up for comments, then they can do so.

EO Matsushima explained that she will need to provide testimony of the Board's position to the legislature when the bill is scheduled for a hearing.

After discussion, it was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Ms. Tanaka and unanimously carried to oppose the bill because it is too broad.

b. H.B. 1977 / S.B. 2280 Relating to Dental Assistants

The purpose of the bills would allow dental assistants to perform limited duties under general supervision of a dentist in public health settings.

Chair Hasegawa asked if there were any members from the public wishing to provide testimony on this matter.

Chair Hasegawa recognized Patrick Donnelly from Hawaii Oral Health Coalition "HOHC".

Mr. Donnelly greeted the Board and explained that he would like to provide a background and contacts on why H.B. 1977 and S.B. 2280 Relating to Dental Assistants had come up through HOHC.

Mr. Donnelly advised that HOHC is essentially a statewide organization under the umbrella of the Hawaii Public Health

institute. He stated that their main goal is to improve access through education and advocacy or developing partnership throughout the state.

Mr. Donnelly explained that in the past, they have been able to work with the coalition in Tele Dentistry or other community programs. He stated that while Tele Dentistry has many potentials, it has limited allowable workforce. He further stated that dental hygienists are able to work under the general supervision of a licensed dentist whereas the dental assistants are limited.

With that said, Mr. Donnelly advised that they do not intend to increase the scope of practice nor advocate for any licensure or credentialing for dental assistants. He advised that they simply want to come up with ways to improve the administration of these type of programs in the public health settings. He further advised that it helps to have extra set of hands when completing basic things, such as charting or passing implements.

Mr. Donnelly expressed that this bill describes the very limited set of duty, as such HOHC is proposing that the duties allowed or not even to the full scope of what would be allowed under the direct supervision is what would only deem essential to assist the dental hygienist.

Mr. Donnelly indicated that as HOHC worked with the legislature, he advised that the bill was drafted in a way that brought up some concerns on their end which relate to the supervision and employment. He indicated that HOHC wanted to make sure that everything that they proposed is consistent with the current law of what was allowable.

Mr. Donnelly advised that the committee passed with the proposed amendment from the Oral Health Coalition regarding the direct supervision of the assistant. He further advised that it has been presented that the dental hygienist would have some direct supervisory incapacity over the dental assistant. He explained that HOHC proposed amendment to that language was to change that to the license dental hygienist or referring to the duties being directed by the hygienist but not labeling any supervisory responsibility was adopted.

Mr. Donnelly concluded his testimony and asked the Board if they have any questions.

Chair Hasegawa asked if the legislature adopt the proposed language to HOHC satisfaction.

Mr. Donnelly explained that the S.B. 2280 was passed with minor and technical language consideration. He further advised that the senate addressed and adopt all 3 points presented.

Chair Hasegawa inquired with regards to the H.B.1977.

Mr. Donnelly advised that the House Bill accepted the DOH amendment related to the employment of dentist and public health setting, however, the house did not acknowledge HOHC proposed language related to hygienist directly supervising the assistant.

Chair Hasegawa asked if any Board members had questions or comments for Mr. Donnelly. There were none.

Chair Hasegawa thanked Patrick Donnelly for his comments and testimony.

Chair Hasegawa recognized Ellie Kelley-Miyashiro representing Hawaii Dental Hygienist Association.

Ms. Kelley-Miyashiro greeted the Board and indicated that the Hawaii Dental Hygienist Association "HDHA" would like to go on record that they are in support of H.B.1977 and S.B. 2280. She advised that both bills have the potential to increase access to carry the public health settings to high risks members of the community.

Chair Hasegawa asked if any Board members had questions or comments for Ms. Kelley-Miyashiro. There were none.

Chair Hasegawa thanked Ms. Kelley-Miyashiro for her comments and testimony.

Next guest, Sheila Kitamura was recognized by Chair Hasegawa.

Ms. Kitamura greeted the Board and expressed her concerns in terms of the liability for the dental hygienist who is providing supervision for the potentially untrained dental assistant.

Ms. Kitamura acknowledge that the scope of duties for the dental assistant listed on the bills are limited. However, Ms. Kitamura indicated that she sees potential errors to be made or some sort of harm to occur. As such, Ms. Kitamura asks the Board with regards

to the liability to the supervising dental hygienist.

Chair Hasegawa advised that HDHA realized the potential issue and made amendments to S.B. 2280, to include removing the direct supervision portion from the language.

Chair Hasegawa thank Ms. Kitamura for her comments and testimony.

Chair Hasegawa recognized Mr. Donnelly, who would like to provide a respond to Ms. Kitamura's concerns and questions pertaining to dental hygienist providing direct supervision to a dental assistant.

Mr. Donnelly advised that the liability portion was a big concern that came up for HOHC. He expressed that they considered who would be liable for that responsibility and what is the prospect for potentially untrained dental assistant.

Mr. Donnelly shared with the Board some of the feedback and discussion primarily from people who are working on the community programs. Mr. Donnelly shared that ultimately the supervising license dentist would still be primarily responsible for the safety and training of all staff including the dental assistant. He further shared that it would be the discretion of the supervising dentist, whether or not that staff member is competent and capable enough to carry out those duties under the general supervision.

Mr. Donnelly indicated that it was highly emphasized that the dental assistant would not be sent out in that setting if they were not capable of carrying out those duties.

With no further comments, Chair Hasegawa thank Mr. Donnelly for the information.

Chair Hasegawa recognized Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro.

Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro explained that the HDHA chose to support the bill with the understanding that general dentist supervision would both exhilarate personnel as well as the liability from the health center that's providing employment for everyone.

Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro further explained that even if the hygienist is directly supervising an assistant, they are still under the direction of the general dentist and under the umbrella of the public health

setting. She indicated that this took off some of the liability off the dental hygienist.

Chair Hasegawa asked Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro in regards to the liability standpoint, if the word direct supervision has not been deleted from the bill, what ramification would it have for the dental hygienist.

Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro advised that it could affect the practice of a dental hygienist because there is no such thing as direct supervision of a dental assistant under a dental hygienist.

Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro further advised that she assumed it to be the same with liability. She explained that the public health center employing everyone has the liability. She indicated that they are sending out these professionals into the public to perform service.

Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro explained that HDHA did not look at counsel for that term.

Chair Hasegawa advised that the Senate Bill was amended to incorporate all three areas of the HOHC. He further advised that the House Bill was only amended with the employment portion. As such, Chair Hasegawa asks Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro if HDHA prefers one or the other to include the word direct supervision.

Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro advised that HDHA does not prefer one or the other at this point.

There being no further questions or comments, Chair Hasegawa thank Ms. Kelly-Miyashiro.

Discussion followed, EO Matsushima indicated that as proposed S.B. 2280 and H.B. 1977 were both companion bills. She explained that initially when she gathered comments from the Board, issues about employment and direct supervision were noted.

EO Matsushima advised that since then, both bills had been amended and is moving forward with S.D. 1 and H.D. 1 versions.

EO Matsushima turn to DAG Yee for legal advised and inquired if it is okay for the Board to comment on the amended version.

DAG Yee answered in the affirmative.

It was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Ms. Fukushima, and unanimously carried to support the amended companion bills, S.D.1 and H.B.1.

c. H.B. 2057 / S.B. 2073 Relating to Telehealth

The Purpose of the bills are to allow for standard telephone contacts for telehealth purposes.

EO Matsushima informed the Board that the telehealth bills were placed on the agenda in the event the board wishes to discuss and comment.

Members stated that they would not take a position on this bill.

EO Matsushima advised that she will monitor the measure just in case it gets amended and impacts the Board's statutes.

7. Correspondence: a. Request for third party comments as it relates to the Queen's Medical Center's General Practice Residency Program's compliance with the Commission on Dental Accreditation's standards and policies.

The Board reviewed an email request from Tina Tom, DDS, MBA, Director, Dental Resident Education, Queen's Medical Center.

EO Matsushima indicated that the Commission on Dental Accreditation is requesting for third party comments as it relates to The Queen's Medical Center's General Practice Residency program's compliance with the accreditation standards and policies.

Discussion followed. The Board decided not to comment on the matter.

8. Applications: a. Ratifications

It was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Dr. Chu, and unanimously carried to ratify approval of the following dentist licenses, dental hygienist licenses, and certification in the administration of intra-oral block anesthesia:

- Approved Dentist

DT-2948 ANNA J PARK
DT-2949 LESLIE A SCHUBERT
DT-2950 DONGUK LEE
DT-2951 KHURRAM A KHAN
DT-2952 JACOB JAMES ENGLAND
DT-2953 DAVID DUDZINSKI
DT-2954 AHMED MOUSTAFA M M ELHAMADY
DT-2955 KARA L HEIMER

- Approved Dental Hygienist

DH-2349 CIELA M BAGUYO
DH-2350 SARAH E CIAMPOLI
DH-2351 JESSICA N ENCINAS
DH-2352 JERNELL G DELENIA
DH-2353 KELLY A RUANA
DH-2354 ALEXIS L SCHENK

- Approved Certification in the Administration of Intra-Oral Block Anesthesia

DH-2349 CIELA M BAGUYO
DH-2352 JERNELL G DELENIA
DH-2353 KELLY A RUANA

- b. Renewal of dentist license number DT-2124, Robert K. Steadman.

Chair Hasegawa asked if there were any members from the public or Dr. Steadman wishing to provide testimony on this matter.

No members of the public nor Dr. Steadman raised their hand to provide testimony.

At 11:27 a.m., it was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Dr. Chu and unanimously carried for the Board to enter executive session in pursuant to HRS, §92-5(a)(1) and (4), "To consider and evaluate personal information relating to individuals applying for professional or vocational licenses cited in 26-9 or both;" and "To consult with the board's attorney on questions and issues pertaining to the board's powers, duties, privileges, immunities, and liabilities". Board members and staff entered into Microsoft Teams.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 11:35 a.m., it was moved by Vice-Chair Guevara, seconded by Ms. Fukushima, and unanimously carried to move out of executive session. Board members and staff returned to the Zoom Webinar meeting.

Upon returning from executive session, Chair Hasegawa asked for a motion on the renewal of dentist license number DT-2124, Robert K. Steadman.

It was moved by Vice-Chair Guevar, seconded by Dr. Chu, and unanimously carried to recommend referral of the renewal of DT-2124, Robert K. Steadman to The Regulated Industries Complaint Office.

The Board affirmed its decision based on the following statutory and administrative rule citations:

HRS 436B-16 failure to provide written notice within 30 days of any disciplinary action;

HRS 436B-19(13) disciplinary action taken by another state or federal agency; and

HRS 436B-19(15) failure to timely report disciplinary action against licensee.

9. Next Meeting: Monday, March 7, 2022
10:00 a.m.
Location: Virtual Videoconference – Zoom Webinar

10. Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m.

Reviewed and approved by:

Taken and recorded by:

/s/ Sandra Matsushima

/s/ Wilma Balon

Sandra Matsushima
Executive Officer

Wilma Balon
Secretary

SM:wb

3/7/22

Minutes approved as is.

Minutes approved with changes; see minutes of 5/16/22