

BOARD OF DENTISTRY
Professional & Vocational Licensing Division
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
State of Hawaii

MINUTES OF MEETING¹

The agenda for this meeting was filed with the Office of the Lieutenant Governor, as required by Section 92-7(b), Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS").

- Date: Monday, July 22, 2019
- Time: 9:00 a.m.
- Place: Queen Liliuokalani Conference Room
King Kalakaua Building
335 Merchant Street, 1st Floor
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
- Present: Staphe Fujimoto, D.D.S., Chair, Dental Member
Earl Hasegawa, D.D.S., Vice Chair, Dental Member
Pearl Arrington, R.D.H., Dental Hygiene Member
Mark Chun, D.M.D., Dental Member
Joy B. Y. Shimabuku, Public Member
Sharon Tanaka, Public Member
George Wessberg, D.D.S., Dental Member
Joyce Yamada, R.D.H., Dental Hygiene Member
Bryan Yee, Esq., Supervising Deputy Attorney General ("DAG")
Sandra Matsushima, Executive Officer ("EO")
Jennifer Fong, Secretary
- Excused: Dennis Nagata, D.D.S., Dental Member
Garrett Ota, D.D.S., Dental Member
Coy Rebmann, D.D.S., Dental Member
- Guests: Alex Santiago, Hawaii Dental Hygienists Association ("HDHA")
Elise Inouye, Hawaii Public Policy Advocates ("HPPA")
Melissa Pavlicek, Hawaii Dental Association ("HDA")
1. Call to Order: There being a quorum present, Chair Fujimoto called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
- At this time, Chair Fujimoto announced the Board's procedural format for agenda matters and stated that the meeting will end no later than 1:00 p.m.

¹ Comments from the public were solicited on each agenda item. If no public comments were given, the solicitation for and lack of public comment are not explicitly stated in these minutes.

2. Approval of Board Meeting Minutes of May 20, 2019: Chair Fujimoto asked the Board members if there were any comments or concerns regarding the Board meeting minutes of May 20, 2019.

There were none.

Chair Fujimoto called for a motion to approve the Board meeting minutes of May 20, 2019.

It was moved by Dr. Wessberg, seconded by Vice Chair Hasegawa, and unanimously carried to approve the May 20, 2019 Board meeting minutes as circulated.

Chair Fujimoto welcomed Ms. Yamada to the Board. He asked Ms. Yamada to give a brief self-introduction.

Ms. Yamada stated that she is a licensed dental hygienist. Currently, she is working at Maui College as a professional development coordinator.

3. Board Member Training: The Role of the Attorney General: DAG Yee stated that as the members may recall, he was giving short 5-10 minute trainings on various topics. Today, he would like to give a brief training on the role of the Board's Deputy Attorney General ("DAG"). He noted that the DAG has many responsibilities. The first is as an advocate – to advocate on behalf of the Board their selected position on an issue. The second is as an advisor or counselor – to give the Board information regarding the law. His information is restricted to legal issues, not policy matters. It is complicated as often policy and law go hand in hand. Most often, if there is a risk, he recommends that the Board go into executive session. Sometimes, if he looks at the laws and rules and the language is unclear, he will give his best opinion but as everything is subject to interpretation, it may not be what the Board decides. The third is as a wordsmith. If the Board can't figure out how to word something, he will suggest language to express what he thinks the Board is trying to say. He will not advocate for a position. The motion is still up to the Board. The fourth is as an enforcer. He cited an example in which the Board goes into executive session to speak to their attorney. If the Board discussion steers away from questions for the DAG and continues on the topic, he will stop the discussion and remind the Board that discussion must take place in open session. He noted that he rarely has to do so with this Board. He stated that he is here as a resource, not as a board member. He won't tell the Board what to do but he is here to give the Board options.

4. Old Business: a. Discussion and Decision Making on the Notice for Anesthesia Permit Renewals, Fees and Inspections; the Guidelines for Applicants and for Volunteer Evaluators; and List of Volunteers

EO Matsushima noted that at the last meeting, the Board approved the guidelines and the notice. She is requesting clarification. She reminded the Board that there is currently no funding for volunteer inspectors. There are approximately 46 dentists with anesthesia privileges. There are three things that she would like to discuss with the Board: 1) physician ("MD") dentists, i.e. oral surgeons with no permit; 2) dentists with current anesthesia privileges but no current facility; and 3) retired dentists.

Vice Chair Hasegawa noted that an inspection is conducted for the initial anesthesia permit. Previously, an inspection was not required for renewal.

EO Matsushima said that one of the dentists with an anesthesia privilege no longer has a facility but would like to keep his permit.

Vice Chair Hasegawa noted that the rules say that the Board issues an anesthesia "permit", not an anesthesia "privilege". He questioned if it can be renewed if there is no facility that can be inspected.

At 9:16 a.m., Ms. Shimabuku arrived.

EO Matsushima said some of those who previously received the special permit may no longer have a facility. She noted that the way the law is written, prior to renewal of a permit, the Board is required to have an on-site inspection of the facility. She believes without a facility to inspect, the permit cannot be renewed and the special privilege will need to be removed from the dentist's license. When the dentist has a facility, they can apply for a new anesthesia permit.

Dr. Wessberg questioned why the privilege needs to be removed.

Vice Chair Hasegawa reiterated that the law requires a facility inspection for renewal.

Dr. Wessberg stated that if the dentist has earned the privilege, it should not be rescinded.

DAG Yee noted that while it is included with the dentist's license, it is not a specialty. Instead, it indicates that a permit has been issued to administer anesthesia.

Dr. Wessberg questioned the permitting process.

DAG Yee noted that a license alone is not enough to administer general anesthesia, deep sedation, or moderate sedation. The permit is attached to both the individual dentist and the facility. The dentist must get a permit for the facility in order to perform general anesthesia, deep sedation, or moderate sedation. The dentist cannot receive the permit without a facility.

Dr. Wessberg asked for confirmation that the dentist's ability to administer anesthesia is tied together with the facility permit.

DAG Yee said yes.

Vice Chair Hasegawa said from a practical standpoint, if there is no facility, the permit cannot be renewed. The dentist will need to reapply once they have a facility.

Dr. Wessberg described a scenario in which a general dentist hires an MD anesthesiologist to provide general anesthesia, deep sedation, or moderate sedation. He noted that a permit would be required for the facility, but the dentist is not qualified to administer the anesthesia.

EO Matsushima explained that if a dentist is not qualified to administer anesthesia, the dentist could still apply for a permit as the law allows a dentist to work with an MD anesthesiologist or CRNA (certified registered nurse anesthetist). The permit would be issued, however, it is tied to the MD anesthesiologist or CRNA and the MD anesthesiologist or CRNA must be present during the facility inspection.

Dr. Chun asked if questions on the anesthesia permit renewal should be referred to the Board office.

EO Matsushima said yes, noting that the procedures are pretty much set, however, there are little things that are coming up.

Dr. Chun suggested not using the word "credentials" as it may be confusing.

EO Matsushima stated that some of the dentist volunteers administer anesthesia in their capacity as a physician, however, technically, they do not have an anesthesia permit from the Board. Years ago, the Board allowed these licensees to apply for a restricted dentist license.

Dr. Wessberg stated that his understanding is that the Board is basically talking about the permit. If the dentist does not qualify for the permit, it should be removed. He questioned if it would be legal for a dentist who practices on the mainland to develop an affiliation with a facility in Hawaii.

Vice Chair Hasegawa said that the dentist could apply for a permit with the facility's address and go through the process as long as the dentist holds a current, active Hawaii dentist license.

After some discussion, upon a motion by Vice Chair Hasegawa, seconded by Dr. Wessberg, it was voted on and unanimously carried that: 1) the anesthesia inspections would be conducted by dentists who are current or former board members and those who have a current anesthesia permit; and 2) if the inspection required pursuant to HRS §448-30 cannot be conducted due to lack of a facility, the permit cannot be renewed.

This is an informal interpretation for informational and explanatory purposes only and is not an official opinion or decision, and therefore is not to be viewed as binding on the Board.

At this time, Chair Fujimoto announced he was taking the agenda out of order to discuss the following agenda item:

- 4. Old Business, c. Request for One Facility Inspection for Both Initial License and Renewal.
- c. Request for One Facility Inspection for Both Initial License and Renewal

EO Matsushima stated that there are some applicants for the initial anesthesia permit waiting for a facility inspection. She asked if the same facility inspection can be used for both the initial inspection and the permit renewal.

Dr. Wessberg asked how long an initial inspection would be good for if it is done after January 1, 2020.

DAG Yee stated that the inspection is valid until the next renewal of the dentist's license.

Dr. Wessberg questioned whether that is reasonable from a practical perspective. He feels the Board is stuck in semantics instead of looking at it practically and said it does not make sense.

EO Matsushima said that the statute is written to requires an inspection for renewal. She noted that the Board cannot override the language in the statute. They can only provide clarification in the rules of what is in the statute.

Chair Fujimoto asked if there were any comments from the audience.

Ms. Pavlicek stated that the HDA is not prepared to make any comments. She will share the discussion with the Board of Trustees. If there are any comments, they will submit them in writing to EO Matsushima.

Executive
Session:

At 9:45 a.m., it was moved by Vice Chair Hasegawa, seconded by Ms. Tanaka, it was voted on and unanimously carried (to move into executive session pursuant to HRS § 92-5(a)(4), "To consult with the board's attorney on questions and issues pertaining to the board's powers, duties, privileges, immunities, and liabilities").

At 10:05 a.m., it was moved by Ms. Arrington, seconded by Ms. Shimabuku, and unanimously carried to move out of executive session.

Dr. Wessberg asked once an application is submitted, what is the window of obligation for the Board to provide the facility inspection.

EO Matsushima stated that once an application is submitted and is determined to be complete, she will contact an inspector to arrange a facility inspection. The dentist must be present for the inspection.

Chair Fujimoto stated that once the application is determined to be complete, the inspection is assigned to a board member and the board member will coordinate the facility inspection with the dentist.

EO Matsushima noted that for any application the standard processing time is at least 15-20 business days.

After some discussion, upon a motion by Ms. Shimabuku, seconded by Dr. Wessberg, it was voted on and unanimously carried that two separate inspections are required, regardless of when the initial inspection is conducted.

This is an informal interpretation for informational and explanatory purposes only and is not an official opinion or decision, and therefore is not to be viewed as binding on the Board.

The Board returned to its regular order of business.

b. Discussion and Decision Making on the Process for Proposed Rules Amendments to Title 16-79

DAG Yee noted that the Board previously approved a set of proposed rules for amendment several years ago. It was decided to suspend the progress of those proposed rules. In the meantime, the Board has discovered additional issues and there have been a series of bills to amend what dental assistants can and cannot do. He noted that the Board has several options: 1) keep the proposed rules as is and start the rulemaking process; 2) change some or all of the proposed rules to address additional issues that have come up since the proposed rules were approved such as fees, time period of a valid anesthesia inspection, ethics requirement of six (6) hours per biennium, clarify what "hospitalization" entails, and/or the checklist for anesthesia inspection requirements; or 3) move forward with the proposed rules with a few changes such as removing all changes relating to dental assistants, updating HAR §16-79-78 and replacing it with the language from the statute and minor updates to the continuing education requirements to reflect changes in the statute.

EO Matsushima stated she is proposing removing the amendments to HAR §16-79-69.1 and HAR §16-79-69.5 regarding dental assistants, amending Subchapters 8 (Anesthesia) and 14 (Continuing Education) to reflect what is currently in the Board's statutes and keeping the retention of dental records.

Ms. Pavlicek stated that the HDA supports the approved proposed rules and would like to see it move forward. She reminded the Board that the HDA originally stated their support when the proposed rules were approved.

Chair Fujimoto asked what would happen if the Board moved forward with the proposed rules and new bills come up.

DAG Yee stated that hypothetically, the Board could create a tiered system for dental assistants in their proposed rules. If the Legislature passes legislation consistent with the language in the rules, it would be fine. If not, the Board would have to revise the proposed rules.

Chair Fujimoto questioned whether amendments would be a waste of the Board's time.

Ms. Arrington stated that she prefers that the dental assistant amendments be removed.

Dr. Wessberg stated that he thinks the Board should be proactive and include guidelines for dental assistants as he feels it is one of the primary responsibilities of the dental profession. He thinks especially if it will stall the Legislature's ability to make a quick change. He said it is very hard to get dental assistants with certification and he fears that it will make it more difficult to get dental assistants.

EO Matsushima stated that she would like to see the proposed rules move along because the Board needs to provide clarification on things such as anesthesia.

Upon a motion by Ms. Arrington, seconded by Ms. Shimabuku, it was voted on and unanimously carried to move forward with the proposed rules with the removal of the previously approved dental assistant language.

DAG Yee asked the Board how they would like to move forward with the proposed rules. He noted that they have several options: 1) form a permitted interaction group ("PIG"), 2) form a rules committee with standing committee meetings, or 3) have discussions at the regular board meeting. He gave a short explanation of how each option would work.

Dr. Wessberg stated that he is in favor of a working group to propose guidelines and suggestions to the Board as this group would be allowed to talk to all shareholders as well as legislators.

Chair Fujimoto noted that timing is key. In his past experience, every time the Board forms a PIG, when the PIG brings things to the Board, the Board has questions, so the PIG goes back and does additional research and the process drags on. He would like all discussion to take place during the regular board meetings. This would allow for transparency as well as public input.

Ms. Shimabuku said she agrees with the Chair. She believes if everyone does their homework, the discussion will be productive.

Ms. Arrington asked if the discussion takes place during the regular meetings, can all board members talk to any stakeholders outside of the Board.

EO Matsushima stated that an individual board member can speak to anyone they want outside of a meeting, however, they cannot discuss board business with other members outside of the board meetings unless the members are in a PIG together for that issue.

Ms. Arrington stated that she agrees that the discussion should take place in the regular meetings.

Mr. Santiago said as a former state legislator, he thinks one advantage of a PIG is that there can be discussion with key players outside of a meeting. This would avoid confrontations during the legislative session.

Dr. Chun questioned what the difference would be since both the PIG and the regular board meeting would allow members to talk to the key players.

Mr. Santiago said that a formal setting such as a board meeting may prohibit key players from coming and providing input.

Ms. Shimabuku reiterated that she thinks that the discussion should be started with the Board as a whole. She noted that the Board can always form a PIG later, however, she prefers that the Board have the "back and forth" discussion first to determine their stance.

EO Matsushima stated that she will put the current proposed draft on the next agenda to clarify and separate the line items for dental assistants.

Dr. Wessberg disagreed, stating that the rules state what dental assistants can do.

EO Matsushima reiterated that it is the proposed draft that will be on the agenda, noting that the current rules with the dental assistant remain in effect.

Dr. Wessberg questioned the purpose of placing the proposed draft on the agenda.

DAG Yee stated that the purpose is to discuss possible rule amendments, including clarifying some things in the statutes. With regards to dental assistants, the Board could clarify what kinds of things they can do and what the qualifications are to do so.

Dr. Wessberg stated he feels there is an obvious outside impetus for this. He questioned what the Board is looking for.

DAG Yee stated that a study was conducted regarding dental assistants and how they should be regulated. The Board previously discussed a tiered system of dental assistants.

Ms. Shimabuku noted that the rules currently state how dental assistants work with dentists and dental hygienists. The purpose of rule amendments is to look at the current language and make suggestions on areas that they feel need to be addressed either with language changes or additional clarification.

5. New Business: *For Informational Purposes Only*

a. Matters Related to the American Association of Dental Boards ("AADB") and the American Board of Dental Administrators ("AADA")

- AADB's Executive Director's Report
- AADA's 35th Annual Conference, October 17-18, 2019, Las Vegas, NV
- AADB's 136th Annual Meeting, October 19-20, 2019, Las Vegas, NV
- AADB's Composite Report 30th Edition 2019
- AADB Board Report for January and February 2019

A copy of the above-referenced information was circulated to the Board members for their review.

The AADB Board Action Reports for January and February 2019 did not include any sanctions from the Board.

b. Matters Related to the Commission on Dental Competency Assessments ("CDCA")

- ADEX Dental Examination, July 19-20, 2019, Maui

Chair Fujimoto noted that the ADEX Dental Examination was actually held on July 20-21. He stated that there were eight dentist candidates and no dental hygiene candidates. He

believes that unofficially, three of the eight candidates failed the exam. Of those three, one was a recent graduate and two were practicing dentists. He believes that goes to show that there is a need for proof of competency to practice. He noted that the testing agencies have been discussing whether live patients are necessary as some only want to test on mannequins. He said one of the reasons he loves helping with the exams is that it allows him to see what is going on.

Dr. Wessberg said he does not believe that anyone completes dental school without working on live patients.

Chair Fujimoto said he thinks the bottom line is that some type of test is needed to show competency.

Dr. Wessberg stated that physicians have a national exam and can perform freely.

EO Matsushima noted that this topic was not on the agenda for discussion and requested that the Board move on.

c. Matters Related to the Central Regional Dental Testing Service, Inc. ("CRDTS")

- CRDTS Workshop and Annual Meeting, August 23-24, 2019, Kansas City, MO

EO Matsushima reported that she and Chair Fujimoto would be attending.

d. Matters Related to the Dental Assisting National Board, Inc. ("DANB")

- State of the States – September 2018-June 2019
- 2019 Editions of State Publications
- Certified Press Vol. 38 – Issue 3 – Summer 2019

A copy of the above-referenced publications was circulated to the Board members for their review.

6. Correspondence: a. Email from Ms. Joy Raskie, Director of Education, Advanced Dental Hygiene, regarding Diode Laser Training and Certification Course / Teaching of Laser Techniques on Typodonts and Pig Jaws

The Board reviewed an inquiry from Ms. Raskie which states she will be teaching a 8ce diode Laser Training and Certification

course for hygienists and dentists in Hawaii. The laser techniques will be on typodonts and pig jaws. There will be no live patient work. Her company is AGD PACE approved. She is asking if she needs to complete the program sponsorship form to teach this course.

EO Matsushima noted that the language of HRS §448-1 specifies human teeth.

Vice Chair Hasegawa asked if a dentist or dental hygienist without a Hawaii license can teach the course.

DAG Yee noted that there are two questions. The first is if a Hawaii license required to teach the course. Based on the definition of dentistry and that the techniques will only be on typodonts and pig jaws, the answer would be “no”. The second question is if the course requires Board approval.

EO Matsushima noted that Ms. Raskie has already been informed if she would like the Board’s approval, she would need to submit the required application, supporting documentation and fee.

Vice Chair Hasegawa asked if the Board has previously allowed non-Hawaii licensed dentists and dental hygienists to teach.

EO Matsushima said she did not know.

Upon a motion by Dr. Wessberg, seconded by Dr. Chun, it was voted on and unanimously carried that based on the information provided, a Hawaii license is not required to teach a course on laser techniques using typodonts and pig jaws with no live patient work.

This is an informal interpretation for informational and explanatory purposes only and is not an official opinion or decision, and therefore is not to be viewed as binding on the Board.

7. Executive Officer's Report on Matters Related to the Board of Dentistry:

➤ Important Notice – Permit to Administer Moderate Sedation, Deep Sedation / General Anesthesia

A copy of the above-referenced Notice was circulated to the Board members for their review.

EO Matsushima reported that the Notice has been posted to the Board’s web page under “Important Announcements”.

➤ DCCA Disciplinary Actions – April 2019 through May 2019

A listing of DCCA Disciplinary Actions from April 2019 through March 2019 was circulated to the members for their information.

There were no sanctions from the Board in the listing.

8. Applications: a. Application for License – Dental Hygienist

➤ Leann Skoronski

Chair Fujimoto announced that this matter would be deferred to later in the meeting in order to allow members to review the application.

b. Ratifications

It was moved by Vice Chair Hasegawa, seconded by Dr. Chun, and unanimously carried to ratify approval of the following dentist licenses:

• Approved Dentist License

DT 2811 BENJAMIN J HANKS
DT 2812 LANDON M OKA
DT 2813 AUSTIN ALEXANDER AKO
DT 2814 SEAN T M ODO
DT 2815 BYUNG UN YOO
DT 2816 ASIA ALEXIS INAGAKI
DT 2817 SHEA S SHIMABUKURO
DT 2818 SHAILEEN M Y ARAKI
DT 2819 CAMDEN J G TOKUNAGA
DT 2820 TRACY L LAU

It was moved by Ms. Arrington, seconded by Vice Chair Hasegawa, and unanimously carried to ratify approval of the following dental hygienist licenses:

• Approved Dental Hygienist License

DH 2175 ERIN K MALONE
DH 2176 SHONTELL C SYKES
DH 2177 RACHEL C COOK
DH 2178 CARMEN SHAN-YE LAW
DH 2179 ASHLEY C FLOYD
DH 2180 CHELSEY K R ESPINA

DH 2181 MICHELLE D H AH SAM
DH 2182 CHANTELE M SABLAY
DH 2183 KELSIE K SUZUKI
DH 2184 ANGEL M OLSON
DH 2185 PATRICIA A KNOBLOCH
DH 2186 LANI K DICKS
DH 2187 JORDAN L ROBERTSON
DH 2188 JANAYE L PERRIN
DH 2189 MARIANNE ORBY B MORALES
DH 2190 MASAMI OSADA DESCHAMPS
DH 2191 HALEY P DELOS SANTOS
DH 2192 ALICIA M TURLEY
DH 2193 LAURYN J BOWERS
DH 2194 KRISTINE E DELA CUESTA
DH 2195 JOY BELL R PABLO
DH 2196 CASSIDY-AMBER G CASIDO
DH 2197 KRISTIN R FLORES
DH 2198 CHRISTINE L HAMILTON
DH 2199 TIANA S R TOKUNAGA
DH 2200 AMBER D LUKIN
DH 2201 DAISON J MOSTOLES
DH 2202 TIARA S DOLORES
DH 2203 EDWIN-KRISTIANE D JULIAN
DH 2204 NAJI M ALABBADI
DH 2205 DAYNA M L SANDOBAL
DH 2206 KARIZA STEPHANIE TAYANES PASTORES
DH 2207 JUDIE ANNE P RASOS
DH 2208 SABRYNA N YATES
DH 2209 MADOKA OSUGI
DH 2210 MALIA M YAMAGUCHI
DH 2211 KAYLEE E SYLVA PAGAN

It was moved by Vice Chair Hasegawa, seconded by Ms. Shimabuku, and unanimously carried to ratify approval of the following applications for certification in the administration of intra-oral block anesthesia:

- Approved Certification in the Administration of Intra-Oral Block Anesthesia

DH 2177 RACHEL C COOK
DH 2178 CARMEN SHAN-YE LAW
DH 2180 CHELSEY K R ESPINA
DH 2181 MICHELLE D H AH SAM
DH 2182 CHANTELE M SABLAY
DH 2106 MOLLY R COLYER

DH 2202 TIARA S DOLORES
DH 2201 DAISON J MOSTOLES
DH 2203 EDWIN-KRISTIANE D JULIAN
DH 2183 KELSIE K SUZUKI
DH 2186 LANI K DICKS
DH 2187 JORDAN L ROBERTSON
DH 2211 KAYLEE E SYLVA PAGAN
DH 2205 DAYNA M L SANDOBAL
DH 2185 PATRICIA A KNOBLOCH
DH 2198 CHRISTINE L HAMILTON
DH 2209 MADOKA OSUGI
DH 2206 KARIZA STEPHANIE TAYANES PASTORES
DH 2207 JUDIE ANNE P RASOS
DH 2208 SABRYNA N YATES
DH 2199 TIANA S R TOKUNAGA
DH 2210 MALIA M YAMAGUCHI

It was moved by Vice Chair Hasegawa, seconded by Ms. Shimabuku, and unanimously carried to ratify approval of the following community service dentist licenses:

- Approved Community Service License – Dentist

CSDT 99 NICOLE M TERS (BAY CLINIC INC.)
CSDT 100 MICHAEL D HARRINGTON (WEST HAWAII
COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER)

It was moved by Dr. Chun, seconded by Vice Chair Hasegawa, and unanimously carried to ratify approval of the following temporary dentist license:

- Approved Temporary License – Dentistry

DTT 322 ROCIO CAROLINA ESCOBAR BUSTAMANTE
DTT 323 JOSHUA H HONG
DTT 324 REGINA P NGUYEN
DTT 325 LAUREN C YAP
DTT 326 YUREE NAMKUNG
DTT 327 TARA L SEARS
DTT 328 JOSE L VILLA JR
DTT 329 TUAN T TRAN
DTT 330 MARKETKA KLETETSCHKOVA
DTT 331 ANNA C CHAVEZ
DTT 332 ROBB M KANEKO

Recess: At 11:02 a.m., Chair Fujimoto called for a recess.

Reconvene: At 11:20 a.m., the Board reconvened their meeting.

At this time, Chair Fujimoto returned to the item deferred earlier in the meeting.

8. Applications: a. Application for License – Dental Hygienist

➤ Leann Skoronski

Upon a motion by Dr. Wessberg, seconded by Vice Chair Hasegawa, it was voted on and unanimously carried to approve Ms. Skoronski's Application for License – Dental Hygienist.

At this time, the Board returned to discussion on the following agenda item:

- 4. Old Business, a. Discussion and Decision Making on the Notice for Anesthesia Permit Renewals, Fees and Inspections; the Guidelines for Applicants and for Volunteer Evaluators; and List of Volunteers

4. Old Business: a. Discussion and Decision Making on the Notice for Anesthesia Permit Renewals, Fees and Inspections; the Guidelines for Applicants and for Volunteer Evaluators; and List of Volunteers

After some discussion, it was moved by Dr. Wessberg, seconded by Vice Chair Hasegawa, and unanimously carried to approve the following licensees as volunteer inspectors as long as their Hawaii permit to administer deep sedation/general anesthesia and moderate sedation is current, valid and in good standing:

- Heera Chang (DT 2805)
- Joan M. Greco (DT 1813)
- Jeremy M. Hannon (DT 2297)
- David S. Haynes (DT 1916)
- Blake K. Kitamura (DT 2395)
- David H. Russell (DT 1886)

It was noted that past and current members of the Board do not need Board approval to be a volunteer inspector.

Vice Chair Hasegawa stated that he has some questions regarding the checklist. He noted that under "Drugs", the checklist includes Dantrolene. He was asked by a licensee if the medication is required. He said he thinks no one with a current anesthesia permit is using anesthetic gasses which would require this medication.

After some discussion, based on the checklist item which includes the notation "(if applicable)", it was the consensus of the Board that Dantrolene is only required if anesthetic agents which may trigger Malignant Hyperthermia are used.

Vice Chair Hasegawa stated that there was also a question regarding the remote storage of oxygen. He asked if there must be an audible alarm.

After some discussion, it was the consensus of the Board that if remote gas storage is used, an audible or visible low oxygen pressure warning device is mandatory.

9. Next Board Meeting: Chair Fujimoto announced the next meeting as:

Monday, September 16, 2019
9:00 a.m.
Queen Liliuokalani Conference Room
King Kalakaua Building
335 Merchant Street, 1st Floor
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

10. Adjournment: It was moved by Ms. Shimabuku, seconded by Vice Chair Hasegawa, and unanimously carried to adjourn the meeting at 11:35 a.m.

Reviewed and approved by:

Taken and recorded by:

/s/ Sandra Matsushima

/s/ Jennifer Fong

Sandra Matsushima
Executive Officer

Jennifer Fong
Secretary

SM:jaf

8/8/19

[] Minutes approved as is.

[✓] Minutes approved with changes; see minutes of September 16, 2019.